



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,603	10/17/2001	Robert F. Dvorak	NBD-48/47181-00259	7891

23569 7590 09/29/2004

SQUARE D COMPANY
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
1415 SOUTH ROSELLE ROAD
PALATINE, IL 60067

EXAMINER

BENENSON, BORIS

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2836

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/981,603

Applicant(s)

DVORAK ET AL.

Examiner

Boris Benenson

Art Unit

2836

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/15/2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 13-26, 28-38, 40 and 42-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 42, 43 and 45-50 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11, 13-26, 28-38, 40 and 44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10/17/2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/20/04 and 9/17/
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____

Detailed Actions

Response to the arguments

1. Applicant argues that Dougherty (4,589,052) teaches away from the combination of Haun (6,259,996) and Lee (5,107,208). Such an argument has been addressed before. It is examiners belief that the statement by Dougherty, who filed his application in July 1984, that "combination of analogue and digital circuit elements is not readily implemented within a single integrated circuit chip", would not prevent an ordinary skilled in the art from doing that with new technology. Lee (5,107,208) filed application in June of 1991 and Haun et al. (6,259,996) filed in August of 1998 therefore technology for implementation of analogue and digital circuit elements into single IC was available and known in time of the invention. The argument is not convincing. The rejection has been maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this

Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been

Art Unit: 2836

obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23,25-26, 28-30, 32-35, 37-38 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) and in view of Lee (5,107,208).

Referring to Claims 1,16 and 28, Haun et al. disclose an ARC Fault Detection System, which produce a trip signal for circuit breaker or other interrupting device, detecting arcing faults in an electrical circuit. System include a circuit for "sensing a change in current in said circuit and developing a corresponding input signal, analyzing said input signal to determine the presence of broadband noise in a predetermined range of frequencies, and producing a corresponding output signal and processing said current signal and said output signal in a predetermined fashion to determine whether an arcing fault is present in said circuit"(Col.2, Lines 8-14). System includes also a microcontroller running an algorithm for analyzing the output signal for current peaks and current rise time. "To distinguish between normally noisy load currents and arcing currents, the algorithm looks for different levels of (di/dt)

Art Unit: 2836

broadband noise, high currents, decaying currents and current aspect ratios" (Col.5, Lines 39-43). Haun et al. disclose, "all of the components of the arcing fault circuit detector, the current fault detector circuit and the ground fault detector circuit, as well as some other circuit components to be described later, are provided on an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC)" (Col.3, Lines 39-43). Haun et al. did not disclose an analog circuit for analyzing sensed signals and digital controller are integrated onto single application specific circuit chip. Dougherty teaches a digital I2T pickup, time band and timing control circuits for static trip circuit breakers, where a digital and analog circuitry are integrated in one very large scale integrated circuit (VLSI). Dougherty teaches, "from a cost standpoint it would be highly desirable to incorporate the entire static trip unit circuit within a single chip to facilitate automated processing of the overall breaker assembly" (Col.1, Lines 43-46). Dougherty does not teach how to implement such a desire of incorporating a digital and analog circuitry into one chip. Lee teaches a system for partitioning and testing sub module circuits of an integrated circuit. Lee indicates existence of Mixed Analog/Digital ASICS with reference to an article entitled "Design for Testability for Mixed Analog/Digital ASICS" IEEE, 1988, Custom Integrated Circuits

Art Unit: 2836

Conference, pages 16.5.1-16.5.4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Haun et al. (6,259,996) with teachings of Dougherty (4,589,052), because it will provide a cost savings. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made how to implement incorporation of all the elements of circuitry of Haun et al. (6,259,996) into single chip (Mixed Analog/ Digital ASIC).

Referring to Claims 2,17 and 29, Haun et al. disclose a *"controller including a plurality of counters and wherein said controller increments said plurality of counters in a predetermined fashion in accordance with said input signals and periodically determines whether an arcing fault is present based at least in part on the state of said plurality of counters"* (Col.2, Lines 33-37).

Referring to Claims 3,18 and 30, Haun et al. disclose, *"the controller increments a plurality of counters, which may be implemented in software, in accordance with the input signals received from the ASIC"* (Col.5, Lines 30-32).

Referring to Claim 5,20 and 32, Haun et al. disclose presence of a microcontroller, which implements a software and firmware counters. It is inherent that such microcontroller comprises a microprocessor.

Art Unit: 2836

Referring to Claims 6-7,21-23 and 33-35, Haun et al. disclose a broadband noise detector. "The broadband noise detector 24 (Fig.1) comprises first and second band-pass filter circuits 80, 82 which receive the rate of change of current signal from the di/dt sensor 16" (Col.3, Lines 54-56). "The threshold detectors 84 and 86 are responsive to those components of the frequency signals passed by the band-pass filters 80 and 82 which are above a predetermined threshold amplitude for producing a corresponding frequency amplitude output to signal conditioning circuits 88 and 90. These circuits 88 and 90 produce a conditioned output signal in a form suitable for input into the microcontroller 40" (Col.4, Lines 3-10). A simultaneous output of circuits 88 and 90 through AND circuit 96 directed to increment a counter in the microcontroller.

Referring to Claims 10-11,25-26 and 37-38, the microcontroller (40) generates "trip_signal", which in turn open up the line contact (47) of the circuit interrupter (44). It is inherent that the interrupter latches and requires to be reset for further operations. The use of a buffer (capacitor) in order to accumulate energy and utilize stored energy is routine and should be claimed as an invention.

3. Claims 4,19 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of

Art Unit: 2836

Dougherty (4,589,052) and in view of Lee (5,107,208) as applied to claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of Miller (4,792,899).

Haun et al. disclose an ARC Fault Detection System. All claim limitations of Claim 1 have been discussed above. Claim 4 adds onboard DC voltage regulator for supplying voltage for all of the analog and digital circuits on the integrated chip. Haun et al. is silent about that subject. Miller teaches microprocessor support integrated circuit that includes a voltage regulator, providing regulated voltage for all digital and analog circuitry on the chip. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include voltage regulator on the chip because it will provide integrity of output and prevent false occurrence of false signals, especially during power up time or due a "noise" in the power line.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) and in view of Lee (5,107,208) as applied to claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of Lee et al. (5,774,555). As we discussed earlier in reference to Claims 6-7, Haun et al. disclose a broadband noise detector including at least two bandpass filters for different passbands, a set of comparators for monitoring

Art Unit: 2836

output of the filters and comparing output to a predetermine threshold and a counter. Haun et al. is silent about the design of the filter and a method of synchronizing an output of the comparators with the counter. Lee et al. teach a switch capacitor bandpass filter. An output of a switched capacitor band-pass-filter (Fig. 5, Pos 334), after comparison on a level comparator 330 is incremented a counter (332) by ANDing with clock (CK). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to use Lee et al. teachings because use of separately manufactured external filters is much more expensive. Furthermore the filter designed by Lee et al. allow easily synchronize the output with other digital components of the arc fault circuit interrupter.

5. Claims 9, 24, 36 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) and Lee (5,107,208) as applied to claims 1-3, 5-7, 10-11, 16-18, 20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of Horie et al. (6,054,887). Haun et al. disclose a circuitry (Fig. 1) including a current fault detector circuit 26 and ground fault sensor 20, which comprises operational amplifiers, but silent about a method of correcting an output with offset values. Haun et al. teach an offset voltage correction circuit for an operational amplifier,

Art Unit: 2836

including steps for comparing an output voltage from the operational amplifier with a prescribed reference voltage, for storing an offset value and for correcting the offset voltage in the operational amplifier in response to the stored digital signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to use Horie et al. teachings, because it enable the device to correct the output signals.

Referring to Claim 40, it is inherent that above steps are periodically repeated and therefore offset voltage values are periodically updated.

6. Claim 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) and Lee (5,107,208) as applied to claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of Inoue (5,784,020). Haun et al. disclose a protection system, which analyzes separately several parameters and produces several analog input channels into a microcontroller for further analyzes. Haun et al. did not disclose a way for converting analog signals into digital form. Inoue teaches an analog-to-digital converting device for increasing the number of analog input channels. This device includes a number of the input channels, a multiplexer and A/D converter, which convert an analog signal selected by multiplexer from analog to digital

Art Unit: 2836

form. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to use teaching of Inoue into design of Haun et al. system, because it allow use of the same A/D converter for converting values of different channels.

7. Claims 14,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haun et al. (6,259,996) in view of Dougherty (4,589,052) and Lee (5,107,208) as applied to claims 1-3,5-7,10-11,16-18,20-23, 25-26, 28-30, 32-35 and 37-38 above, and further in view of MacKenzie et al. (5,224,006). Haun et al. disclose an ARC Fault Detection System, which produce a trip signal for circuit breaker or other interrupting device, detecting arcing faults and ground faults in an electrical circuit as it was discussed in reference to Claims 1,16 and 28. Haun et al.doesn't disclose a circuit for forming a dormant oscillator neutral detection system for detecting a grounded neutral. MacKenzie et al. teach an electronic circuit breaker with protection against sputtering ARC faults and ground faults, wherein said ground fault detector means is a dormant oscillator (Claim2). The circuitry of the breaker include two sensors (Fig.3 Pos. 17 and 19) and a group of operational amplifiers/comparators (65,73,73) for comparing to the reference voltage (Col.4, Lines 8-14), where "neutral-to-ground faults couple the current sensing coils 17 and 19 to form a feedback loop around the op amp 65 which

Art Unit: 2836

causes the op amp 65 to oscillate" (Col.4, Lines 25-32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to incorporate MacKenzie et al. teachings to an application specific integrated circuit, because it enables the ASIC provide full range of circuit protection.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 42-43 and 45-50 are allowed.
 9. Claims 47-50 are allowable because none of the prior art of record disclose an arc fault circuit interruption system that includes a test signal buffer which acts as a current source for driving a test winding at a center frequency of each of the bandpass filters in combination with the other claim limitations.
 10. Claims 42-43 and 45-46 are dependent on allowable Claims 47, 48 and therefore allowable.
 11. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114.
- Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination

Art Unit: 2836

and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Benenson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2048. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:20-6:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be

Art Unit: 2836

reached on (571) 272-2800 x 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Boris Benenson
Examiner
Art Unit 2836

B.B.



BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800